

42# & 43# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 20/2018**

PANKAJ @ ISHU

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Ms. Koplin K. Kandhari and
Mr. S.C. Duggal, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ashok K. Garg, APP for
State with Inspector Kashmiri
Lal, ATO/Janak Puri.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 248/2018**

ANKIT AGGARWAL

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Arvind Mishra and Mr.
Rahul Pandey, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ashok K. Garg, APP for
State with Inspector Kashmiri
Lal, ATO/Janak Puri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

11.04.2018

%

1. By these petitions the petitioners seek bail in case FIR No. 283/2011 under Sections 302/34 IPC registered at PS Janak Puri, Delhi.
2. Having perused the statements of the witnesses including those of the injured witnesses though this Court finds no ground to grant bail to the petitioners, however, considering the fact that the petitioners are in custody

BAIL APPLN. 20/2018 and 248/2018

Page 1 of 2

since September, 2011 and the trial has not concluded, learned Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of four months from the next date before the learned Trial Court, that is, 25th April, 2018.

3. Petitions are disposed of.

4. Registry is directed to communicate the copy of the order to the learned Trial Court.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 11, 2018

‘vn’