

\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 276/2018

MOHD. ARIF

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arun Kumar Verma, Adv

versus

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, Adv. for R1

Mr. Santosh Kr. Tripathi, ASC with

Mr. C.M. Sundaram, Adv. for R2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

%

12.01.2018

W.P.(C) 276/2018, CM. No. 1143/2018 & CM No. 1143/2018 (for stay)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, petitioner would be satisfied if his representation at Page 37 of the paper book is replied by the respondent No.1. This submission of the petitioner is agreeable to the learned counsel for the respondent no.1. Let the same be decided within three weeks with a communication to the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner states, no further orders are required to be passed in the petition.

Accordingly, the petition and the connected application are dismissed as withdrawn.

If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he is at liberty to seek such remedy as available in law.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

JANUARY 12, 2018/jg