

3
\$~1, 5 and 6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11534/2017 and CM No. 46991/2017**

SANDEEP SETHI

..... Petitioner

Through

Ms. Pragya Baghel and Ms. Shweta
Parihar, Advs.

versus

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
MINISTRY OF FINANCE AND ORS.**

..... Respondents

Through

Mr. Asheesh Jain, Sr. Standing
Counsel for ITD

AND

+ **W.P.(C) 11531/2017 and CM No. 46989/2017**

ASHOK SETHI

..... Petitioner

Through

Ms. Pragya Baghel and Ms. Shweta
Parihar, Advs.

versus

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
MINISTRY OF FINANCE AND ORS.**

..... Respondents

Through

Mr. Asheesh Jain, Sr. Standing
Counsel for ITD

AND

+ **W.P.(C) 11546/2017 and CM No. 47039/2017**

SANDEEP SETHI AND ORS.

..... Petitioner

Through

Ms. Pragya Baghel and Ms. Shweta
Parihar, Advs.

versus

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
MINISTRY OF FINANCE AND ORS.**

..... Respondent

Through

Mr. Asheesh Jain, Sr. Standing
Counsel for ITD

CORAM:

4
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER


%

20.02.2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petitions and states that all questions would be raised before the authority concerned.

He further submits that if required, the petitioner would challenge constitutional validity ^{at} ~~at the~~ subsequently post adjudication and in case of an adverse order.

Accordingly, the writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed. We clarify that we have not expressed any opinion on merits.


SANJIV KHANNA, J


CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2018

b