

12/11 & 13/12/17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2627/2017**

JAI SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Harsh Prabhakar, Advocate
for Mr. Pawan Sharma,
Advocate.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP
for State with SI Om Prakash
and HC Surender, PS Hauz
Khas.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 161/2018**

MOHIT KAUSHIK & ANR

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr. Akshay Chandra and Mr.
Amit Choudhary, Advocates.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Kusum Dhalla, APP for
State with SI Om Prakash and
HC Surender, PS Hauz Khas.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

08.03.2018

%

1. By these petitions, petitioners Jai Singh in Bail Appln. No. 2627/2017 and Mohit Kaushik and Vinay Kaushik, in Bail Appln. No.161/2018 seek bail in case FIR No. 442/2017 under Sections 323/452/34 IPC registered at PS Hauz Khas, New Delhi on the complaint of one Sandeep Panwar. In the complaint Sandeep Panwar alleged that on the

BAIL APPLN. 2627/2017 and 161/2018

Page 1 of 2

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date:07.10.2024 17:02:15
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.


3

intervening night of 29th/30th November, 2017 at around 1.30 AM the complainant along with his son Aman was coming back home from marriage. As they entered the main gate of their house, suddenly four persons came from backside, entered their house and attacked on the complainant, his son and nephew with flower pots and stones. He further stated that due to sudden attack he, his son, nephew and sister -in -law got injured. The injured were taken to the hospital. When the present petitions came up before this Court for hearing, this Court granted interim protection pursuant whereof the petitioners have joined the investigation. As per the MLC, the injuries have been opined to be simple in nature.

2. Considering the nature of allegations and the injuries to the complainant and other victims, this Court finds it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. It is, therefore directed that in the event of arrest the petitioners be released on bail on their furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/SHO concerned, further subject to the condition that they will join the investigation as and when directed by the Investigating Officer and will not leave the country without prior permission of the court concerned and in case of change of residential address the same will be intimated to the Court concerned by way of affidavit.

3. Petitions are disposed of.

4. Order dasti.


MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 08, 2018/vn'

BAIL APPLN. 2627/2017 and 161/2018

Page 2 of 2