

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5444/2017**

MOHAN BANSAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Mathur, Mr. Ankit Gupta
and Mr. Divyank Tyagi, Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR..... Respondents

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, APP for State with
Insp. Raj Kumar Singh, EOW.
Ms. Shalini Singh, Adv. for R-2 with
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

12.12.2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that matter has been settled with respondent no.2/complainant in the trial court on 07.12.2017 and in terms of the settlement, petitioner has already paid ₹7.5 lacs to the respondent no.2. it is pointed out that FIR was registered under Sections 406/420/467/468/471/120B/34 IPC. However, charge has been framed against the petitioner only under Sections 420/120B/471 IPC. Respondent no.2-Mr. Ravinder Singh is present in Court along with his counsel. He has been identified by Insp. Raj Kumar Singh of Economic Offences Wing. He submits that he has settled the matter with petitioner of his own free will and without any undue force, pressure or coercion. He has already received the

settled amount of ₹7.5 lacs from the petitioner and he has no objection in case aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed against the petitioner only.

Keeping in view the settlement arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 voluntarily, in my view, no fruitful purpose would be served to keep the criminal proceedings pending. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner only.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

DECEMBER 12, 2018

ga