$~30.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ SERTA 8/2018

IMPACT COMMUNICATIONS ..... Appellant

Through Mr. R. Santhanam & Mr. A.P. Sinha, Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF SERVICE TAX NEW DELHI.... Respondent

Through Mr. Sanjeev Narula, Sr. Standing Counsel & Mr. Abhishek Ghai, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

<u>O R D E R</u>

% **10.01.2018**

After some hearing, learned counsel for the appellant seeks permission to withdraw the present appeal and states that he would prefer an appeal before the Supreme Court under Section 35L of the Central Excise Act, 1944 read with Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994 as the question and issue raised in the order-in-original and challenged by the appellant before the Tribunal relates to chargeability of tax or value of the “service” for the purpose of assessment.

The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J.

JANUARY 10, 2018
VKR