

\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 102/2018**

WASIM AKRAM MALIK Petitioner
Through: Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Mr. R.M.A.
Sikander, Mr. Prateek Gupta and
Mohd. Danish, Advs.

Versus

NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY Respondent
Through: Mr. Amit Sharma, SPP, Ms. Kanchan,
P.P. along with Mr. Som Prakash and
Ms. Poornima Misra, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER
% **10.01.2018**

Petitioner has assailed the order dated 22nd September, 2017 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, which is an interlocutory order. In view of the law laid in State Vs. Navjot Sandhu (2003) 6 SCC 641, present petition is not maintainable. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on Bipin Shantilal Panchal Vs. State of Gujarat (2001) 3 SCC 1. The said judgment is in the context of different facts, inasmuch as, Supreme Court has taken note of Bipin Shantilal Panchal (Supra) in Navjot Sandhu (Supra), therefore, is no help to the petitioner.

Accordingly, in view of the Navjot Sandhu (Supra), present petition is dismissed. Miscellaneous application is disposed of as infructuous.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

JANUARY 10, 2018
ga