

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2580/2017**

RANVEER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. P.N. Parashar and Ms. Shivani
Lakhanpal, Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through

Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP with SI Preeti,
P.S. Vasant Kunj North

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

% **01.02.2018**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the MLC, prosecutrix has not levelled any allegation of rape against the petitioner. The history was given by her to the doctor. As per the MLC, prosecutrix was assaulted by her father ten days back. In the FIR, prosecutrix stated that the petitioner sexually assaulted her ten days ago from registration of FIR; meaning thereby that petitioner had allegedly raped the prosecutrix on 20th March, 2017. In her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. recorded on 6th April, 2017, prosecutrix stated that petitioner had raped her two days ago. Learned counsel for

the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody for about ten months. Prosecutrix has already been examined. Petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned APP has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

Keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case, petitioner is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

FEBRUARY 01, 2018
r.bararia