

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11180/2017**

MANOJ KUMAR SINGH AND ORS. Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.S. Khan with Mr. Mohit
Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Rakesh Tikku, Sr. Advocate
with MR. Arun Kaushal, Advocate
Mr. Yogesh Sharma with Mr.
Kausar Akhtar Khan.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT DELHI AND ORS. Respondent

Through: Mr. D. Rajeshwar Rao with Mr.
Kapil Agnihotri, Advocate for R 1-
3
Mr. Sanjoy Ghose with Mr.
Rhishabh Jetley, Advocates
Mr. Sanjay Dewan with Ms. Palak,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

% **01.02.2018**

CM. APPL. 987/2018

1. This is an application for impleadment. The same is allowed. The applicants are impleaded as petitioners 9 to 11 to the instant writ petition.

W.P.(C) 11180/2017 with CM No. 45748/2017 and CM No. 988/2018

2. Mr. Tikku, learned senior counsel on instructions says that there has been an error of both fact and law in the impugned order passed by the Lawyer Chamber Allotment Committee and,

therefore, he would like to approach the said Committee once again for reconsideration of its earlier decision.

3. Mr. Tiku also says that he would like to take the benefit of the judgment rendered by another Single Judge of this court dated 3.1.2018, passed in W.P.(C) 11084/2017, titled: Shoeb Shakeel v. Lawyers Chambers Allotment Committee Saket and Anr.

4. Accordingly, as prayed the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to approach the Lawyer Chamber Allotment Committee in accordance with the extant guidelines. It is made clear that this Court has not examined the veracity of the assertions made on behalf of the petitioners.

5. Consequently, all pending applications are disposed of.

6. Dasti

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

FEBRUARY 01, 2018/Nk