

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10672/2017

MOHD. DILSHAD

..... Petitioner

Through Ms. Disha Vaish, Advocate

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL AND ANR. Respondents

Through Mr. Tarunvir Singh Khehar, Mr. Simar
Pal Singh and Mr. Abhimanyu Gupta,
Advocates for NDMC/respondent no.1.
Mr. Devesh Singh, ASC with Ms. Neelam
Kholiya, Advocate for respondent no.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL

ORDER

%

13.02.2018

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India filed by the petitioner, who claims to be a regular street vendor and has been vending opposite Shop no.171, near Mandir in Sarojini Nagar Market, New Delhi.

Counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if leave is granted to the petitioner to approach the Town Vending Committee(TVC) as and when it is functional. She seeks a direction to the TVC to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with law and expeditiously.

Counsel for the respondents without admitting any of the averments made in the writ petition submits that in case the petitioner approaches the TVC as and when it is functional with all supporting documents, the case of the petitioner would be considered in accordance with law.

Binding the parties to the statement made in Court, the writ petition is disposed of, as prayed.

We make it clear that in case the petitioner is not found vending at the site when the survey is conducted, that alone would not be a ground to reject his case.

CM.APPL 43661/2017 also stands disposed of.

G.S.SISTANI, J

SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J

FEBRUARY 13, 2018

pst /

W.P.(C) 10672/2017

2/2