

\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 586/2018**

SHANTI KUMAR & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through : Mr.Sanjeev Mahajan, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

SANGEETA GOEL

..... Respondent

Through : Mr.Madan Lal Sharma, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGESH KHANNA

ORDER

% **05.12.2018**

CAV No.1124/2018

Since the learned counsel for the caveator/respondent puts in
appearance, the caveat stands disposed of.

CM APPL No.50910-11/2018

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application(s) stand disposed of.

RC.REV. 586/2018& CM APPL No.50909/2018

This petition challenges the impugned order 17.07.2018 passed
by the learned Trial Court against the petitioner.

After arguing for sometime, the learned counsel for the
petitioner submits the petitioner shall be satisfied with the impugned
order if a period of one year and three months more is granted to the
petitioner to vacate the premises in question. The learned counsel for
the respondent agrees to the proposal provided some user charges be
given to the respondent for the use of the premises with effect from
01.12.2018.

In the circumstances, the user charges @ Rs.10,000/- be paid by the petitioner to the respondent with effect from 01.12.2018 till 29.02.2020 besides the electricity and water charges as per the actuals. The petitioner shall not sub let, assign or transfer the premises in question to some other person during his occupation. The respondent shall not pursue the execution proceedings till 29.02.2020 against the petitioner.

The petitioner shall file an undertaking to the above effect within one week from today with an advance copy thereof to the learned counsel for the respondent.

With the above observation, the petition is disposed of with no order as to cost. The pending application also stands disposed of. Order *dasti* to both the parties.

YOGESH KHANNA, J.

DECEMBER 05, 2018

M