

63# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 3653/2018

GYAN SINGH & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Ms. Tarannum Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Tomar, Advocates with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE & ORS.

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, Additional
Standing Counsel for State with ASI
Baljeet, PS Najafgarh and SI Vijender
Kumar, Dwarka South.
Respondent No. 4 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

03.12.2018

%

1. Petitioners who are present in court, state that they are major and have married of their own free will, contrary to the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 to 7 and thus seek protection.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for State accepts notice.
4. Notice is also accepted by respondent No.4, father of petitioner N.1, who is present in court. Respondent No.4 states that since petitioner No.2 is a major and has married of her own free will, he has no objection to their marriage and he or any of his family members would not interfere in the married life of the petitioners.

W.P.(CRL) 3653/2018

Page 1 of 2

5. In view of this statement of respondent No.4, petitioners who are present in court, do not wish to pursue the petition any further.
6. Petition is disposed of as infructuous.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

DECEMBER 03, 2018

‘vn’