

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 313/2018

HC/ GD BALDEV SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr. Subhashish Mohanty, Advocate.

versus

CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE AND ORS.

..... Respondents
Through: Mr. Prasanta Varma, Sr. CGC,
Ms. Shalu Goswami, Advocate with
Inspector Sanjay Kumar, CISF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

% **10.09.2018**

C.M. No. 1321/2018 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 313/2018

1. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought time to obtain instructions from his client as to whether he proposes to pursue the present petition or not. Today, learned counsel states that he has instructions to pursue the present petition.

2. On a perusal of the paper book, it transpires that the petitioner has assailed the orders dated 17.10.2015 and 08.12.2015, passed by the Disciplinary Authority, imposing upon him a penalty of punishment by reduction of pay from Rs.11,000/- + Rs.2,800 Grade Pay to Rs.10,590 +

W.P.(C) 313/2018

Page 1 of 2

2,800 Grade Pay in the time scale of pay for a period of two years, along with a clarification that the petitioner will not earn any further increment in the pay, during the period of reduction.

3. We have enquired from learned counsel for the petitioner as to whether the petitioner has exhausted his statutory remedy by filing a revision petition before the Revisional Authority, prior to filing the present petition. Learned counsel concedes that the petitioner has not exhausted the statutory remedy.

4. We decline to entertain the present petition as the petitioner ought to have first exhausted his statutory remedies. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed along with the pending application.

HIMA KOHLI, J

REKHA PALLI, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2018

ap/rkb