

\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 3742/2015 & CRL. M.A. Nos. 13270/2015, 17950/2015,
18374/2015 & 18815/2017

MADHU NAITHANI

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Tamali Wad & Ms. Saumya Jain,
Advs.

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for State
with SI Sandeep Kumar, P.S. Palam
Village.
R-2 in person.
Mr. Rajiv Thukral, Adv. for R-3 & 4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

% **24.07.2018**

After some hearing, the counsel for the petitioner submitted that she may be permitted to withdraw the present petition and the applications filed therewith reserving all the contentions urged here including on the basis of the facts reported upon verification through the police *vis-a-vis* the documents which were submitted by the complainant with the bank at the time of raising loan anterior to the sale transaction at the stage of cross-examination of the complainant and her witnesses in the post-charge process.

The petition and the applications filed therewith are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed granted.

R.K.GAUBA, J.

JULY 24, 2018/uj