

\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 9071/2017 & CM No. 37097/2017**

SHREY BAJAJ

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anil Singal, Mr. Yusuf Khan &
Mr. Amarjeet Singh Girsra, Advocates

versus

STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION AND ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Jasmeet Singh, CGSC with
Ms.Srivats Kaushal & Mr. Devank
Maheshwari, Advocates for UOI
Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Advocate with
Mr. N.K. Singh, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

%

19.11.2018

In compliance of the last order, Mr. Jasmeet Singh, learned Central Government Standing Counsel, handed over a compilation of documents in Court. He states that the affidavit could not be prepared on account of paucity of time. The said documents are taken on record.

Mr. Singh points out that 3 vacancies were requisitioned by the Delhi Police. Those 3 vacancies including 1 other vacancy were examined and the SSC has nominated for appointment the following four candidates:

Sl. No.	Name, Roll No., DOB, Cat etc.	Old Result	Revised result/ remarks
1	GAUTAM Roll No.-2201042329 DOB-10/11/91 PI-99.50, PII-127.75 INTW-53	RANK-"SLA\175*" PREF_SEL-" A" (SI in Delhi Police CATSEL-1	RANK-"SLA\175*" PREF_SEL-" A" (SI in Delhi Police CATSEL-9*

	Gtotal-280.25 CAT-1 PREF-AHC		*SC candidate Qualifying at UR standard
2	RAHUL KUMAR Roll No.-2201500078 DOB-19/11/88 PI-111.50, PII-97.75 INTW-71 Gtotal-280.25 CAT-UR PREF-AHCDEBGF	RANK-"SLC\00014" PREF_SEL-" C" (SI in CISF CATSEL-9	RANK-"SLA\175-A" PREF_SEL-" A" (SI in Delhi Police CATSEL-9
3	UMRAV MAL YADAV Roll No.-2405032569 DOB-05/07/89 PI-111.00, PII-101.50 INTW-51 Gtotal-263.50 CAT-6 PREF-HACGEBDF	RANK-"SLC\00114*" PREF_SEL-" C" (SI in CISF) CATSEL-9*	RANK-"SLA\237-AA" PREF_SEL-" A" (SI in Delhi Police) CATSEL-6
4	RAMANDEEP Roll No.-1601001328 DOB-10/11/87 PI-85.25, PII-101.00 INTW-42 Gtotal-228.25 CAT-1 PREF-ACBEHDF	RANK-"SLC\00487" PREF_SEL-" C" (SI in CISF) CATSEL-1	RANK-"SLA\280-A" PREF_SEL-" A" (SI in Delhi Police CATSEL-1

Consequently, the cut off marks inter alia in respect of OBC category have though fallen from 264 to 263.5, the petitioner having secured 260.5 marks, Mr. Singh points out, the petitioner stands at serial No. 8 in the waiting list and thus not eligible in any event. Reference is also made to the judgment passed by this Court on 16.12.2016 in ***Union of India vs. Shrey Bajaj & Anr.*** in W.P.(C) 11739/2016 wherein the Division Bench took note of its earlier judgment in ***The Chairman, Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board & Anr. vs. Ms. Rajni & Ors.*** W.P.(C) 2552/2012 decided on 05.03.2013, whereby, it was held that the reserve panel should be valid for a period of six months. Following the directions issued by this Court in the aforesaid writ petition, the Division Bench directed the Staff Selection Commission to take notice of the ratio and directions issued by the Division

Bench in Ms. Rajni (supra).

Keeping in view the aforesaid circumstances, we are of the view that the petitioner would have been way below in the waiting list/reserve panel even if the panel was to be prepared and made operative. We therefore find no merit in this petition.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

A. K. CHAWLA, J

NOVEMBER 19, 2018

rd