

\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3481/2018**

JATIN SAPRA & ORS

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Dharmender Sharma and Mr.
Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Iti Pandey, Advocate for Ms.
Nandita Rao, ASC SI Pramod Kumar,
PS Shalimar Bagh.
Mr. J.S. Kalra, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

15.11.2018

Crl.M.A. No. 47297/2018 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) 3481/2018

1. By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 91/2017 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Shalimar Bagh on the complaint of respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

2. Learned proxy Counsel for learned ASC for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the four petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.

W.P.(CRL) 3481/2018

page 1 of 3

3. Respondent No.2 who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners vide the settlement deed dated 15th November, 2017 copy whereof is annexed as Annexure P-2 to the present petition. In terms of the settlement, marriage between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the respondent No.2, the petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹3 lakhs to respondent No.2 out of which she has already received a sum of ₹2 lakhs and the balance amount of ₹1 lakh has been received by her today in Court vide Demand Draft No. 307918 drawn on Indian Overseas Bank dated 5th November, 2018. She further states that she has no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners. In terms of the settlement she does not wish to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. She further undertakes to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

4. Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between.

5. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in

question.

6. Consequently, FIR No. 91/2017 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Shalimar Bagh and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

7. Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

8. Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 15, 2018
‘yo’