

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 486/2015 & CM Nos. 19058-59/2015**

NIRMAL JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Setu Niket & Ms. Esha
Mazumdar, Advocates.

versus

DR KAILASH CHANDER SURI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Goyal & Mr. Pankaj Garg,
Advocates with respondent in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

%

24.05.2018

The counsel on both sides submit that both the parties have agreed that the revision petition against eviction order may be dismissed as withdrawn and the petitioner may continue to be in use and occupation and hand over the vacant and peaceful possession of the demised premises on or before 31.07.2018, subject to the conditions that she would furnish an undertaking to the satisfaction of the Rent Controller within ten days hereof to the effect that she would not create a third party interest in the demised premises during the period she remain in her possession and use; that she would pay the arrears of rent, if any, within the same period and continue to pay the user / occupation charges at the same rate as of the settled rent month by month during the said period; that she would continue to pay the electricity and water charges or any other charges to be borne by her periodically; and that she would hand over the vacant and peaceful

possession of the premises on or before the date specified above. Ordered accordingly.

Subject to strict and scrupulous compliance with the undertaking, the enforcement of the eviction order shall remain in abeyance till the above-mentioned date. It is, however, made clear that in case of any default in furnishing the undertaking or complying with the terms and conditions settled above, the eviction order will become executable immediately.

The petition and the pending application stand disposed of in above terms.

R.K.GAUBA, J.

MAY 24, 2018

nk