

27# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2588/2017**

SANTOSH KUMAR GUPTA & ORS

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr. Sunil Choudhary and Ms.
Rachna Aggarwal, Advocates
with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Ms. Hemlata Rawat, Advocate
for Mr. Sanjay Lao, Additional
Standing Counsel for State with
WSI Sushila, PS S.P. Badli.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
21.08.2018

%

By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 833/2016 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Samaipur Badli, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned proxy counsel for learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the six petitioners, impleaded by way of an amended memo of parties are the only accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/ victim.

Respondent No. 2 who is present in Court and is identified by the Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the Petitioners. She states that since March, 2018 she is residing with the

W.P.(CRL) 2588/2017

Page 1 of 2

petitioners and presently she is residing at Ollur, Kerala with the petitioner No.1 who is working there. In terms of the settlement she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to ensure a harmonious life of the petitioner No. 1 and respondent No.2.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 833/2016 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Samaipur Badli, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

AUGUST 21, 2018
‘vn’