

\$~8

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 8043/2017

MANOJ MITTAL HUF

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Advocate

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD NO 36(4) Respondent

Through: Mr. Asheesh Jain, Senior Standing
Counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

19.01.2018

Learned counsel for the assessee states that the refund order has been issued. We were inclined to impose costs, but it is stated that the refund order was passed on the date, when the writ petition itself was listed and there was a demand, which was deleted vide order dated 26.5.2017. We are, therefore, not imposing costs.

The petition is disposed of.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

JANUARY 19, 2018/tp