

\$~8 to 11

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Judgment delivered on: 06.04.2018

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1735/2017**

VIMMI PURI

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1738/2017**

SUNIL CHOPRA

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1740/2017**

SAHIL PURI

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1742/2017**

SANJEEV PURI

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Petitioner : Mr. K.K. Manan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ankush Narang & Ms. Shivani Kant

For the Respondent : Mr Akshai Malik, Addl. PP for the State
SI Ajay Kumar, PS Kirti Nagar

**CORAM:-
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA**

**JUDGMENT
06.04.2018**

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners seek anticipatory bail in FIR No.133/2016 under Sections 420/406/34 IPC, Police Station Kirti Nagar.
2. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated. Subject complaint was initiated as a counter blast to the proceedings under Section 138 Negotiable Instruments Act initiated by the Petitioner against the complainant.
3. It is submitted that the allegation that jewellery was handed over by the complainant to the petitioner on assurance that a rental income would be given to the respondents against the said jewellery is false and fabricated and not substantiated. In fact the entire allegations are to create a false defence to the complainant under Section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act.
4. By order dated 08.09.2017 interim protection was granted to the petitioners subject to their joining investigation.
5. Learned APP under instructions of the Investigating Officer submits that the petitioners joined investigation as and when were called upon by the Investigating Officer. He submits that investigation is complete and Final Report is under process of being filed.

6. In the status report filed by the State it is *inter alia* stated:

“In this regard, investigation was conducted, during course of investigation, complainant joined investigation and notice under Section 91 Cr.P.C. was given for producing jewellery bills and other related documents, out of which jewellery bills of worth Rs. 25 lacs were provided by the complainant, which could not be verified from concerned jewellers as there was no jewellery shop found at given address. However no written agreement was made at the time of delivery of jewellery as per statement of complainant.”

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, perusal of record shows that the petitioner has made out a case for grant of Anticipatory Bail. Further, no case is made out for custodial interrogation.

8. In the event of the arrest of the petitioners, the petitioners shall be released on bail by the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer/SHO, on petitioners furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer/SHO.

9. The petitioners shall not do anything, which shall prejudice either the investigation or the prosecution witnesses.

10. Petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

11. Order *Dasti* under signatures of the Court Master.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

APRIL 06, 2018/ns