

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 11806/2018 & CM APPL.45732/2018

SMT. INDU RANI @ INDU RATHI Petitioner

Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate with
Mr.Yogesh Kumar, Advocate

versus

VARISTA LEASE PVT. LTD. AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr.B.S.Mathur, Advocate with
Mr.Rajat Mathur and Ms.Aditee,
Advocates for R-1.
Ms.Warisha Farasat, Advocate for R-
6 & R-7.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER

% **31.10.2018**

The impugned order dated 31.08.2018 perused and the same is reproduced below:

“Present :Shri B.S. Mathur, Counsel for Petitioner.

: Shri Naveen Bhardwaj, Counsel for R-6, G.S.

: Shri S.S. Rathi, Husband of R-1.

- 1. Representative of R-1 requested for adjournment as the counsel is hospitalised. He also file copy of medical certificate.*
- 2. Counsel for Petitioner requested that he is seeking only direction to concerned SDM/RA to dispose off his application*

under Order 7 Rule 11.

- 3. Heard all the parties.*
- 4. Case is remanded back to the concerned SDM/RA for fresh hearing on the application under Order 7 Rule 11 and dispose off the matter as early as possible or within three months. Case is disposed off accordingly.*
- 5. File be consigned to Record Room after completion.”*

The dispute pertains under Order 7 Rule 11 and which is between the Court and the respondents, therefore, nothing survives in the petition. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

I.S.MEHTA, J

OCTOBER 31, 2018

sr