

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 318/2018**
FUTURE UDAY COMMUNICATION PVT. LTD..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nasreen Khatoon, Adv with
petitioner in person.

versus

AMIT SRIVASTAVA Respondent
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER
% **08.01.2019**

I.A. No. 93/2019 (Correction in error in order dated 29.11.2018)

1. This is an application whereby correction of a typographical error in the order dated 29.11.2018 is sought.
2. According to counsel for the applicant, in para 5 of the order dated 29.11.2018, instead of the date 05.06.2018, the date 05.10.2018 ought to have been mentioned.
3. I have examined the record.
4. Applicant/petitioner is correct.
5. Accordingly, the relevant part of para 5 will be read as follows:-
“5 Having regard to the aforesaid, I am inclined to extend time for concluding arbitration proceedings by a period of six months commencing from 05.10.2018. ”

6. The other parts of the order dated 29.11.2018 shall remain unaltered.
7. The application is disposed of.
8. The Registry will dispatch a copy of the order passed today to the counsel for the non-applicant/respondent.
9. *Dasti.*

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

JANUARY 08, 2019

c