

\$~21.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10981/2018 & CM Nos. 42755-42756/2018

M/S BHARAT BIOTECH INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Rakesh Tiku, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Gaurav Kohli, Ms. Gayatri Misra, Mr. Guru Natraj & Mr. Avinash Sharma, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Ms. Maninder Acharya, ASG with Mr. Vinod Diwakar, CGSC, Mr. Harshul Choudhary, Mr. Sahil Sood & Mr. Viplav Acharya, Advocates for UOI alongwith Dr. M.K. Aggarwal, Deputy Commissioner.

Ms. Shyel Trehan & Mr. Aman Shukla, Advocates for respondent No. 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

12.10.2018

%

Learned Additional Solicitor General states that the Purchase Committee in view of the contentions of the petitioner and the second respondent has referred the matter to the Standing Technical Committee of the National Technical Advisory Board of Immunisation and only after receiving the report, further action would be taken. It is stated that the writ petition is premature and based on assumptions.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition in view of the aforesaid statement may be treated as a representation to the first respondent. The present writ petition would be treated as a representation and may be forwarded to the Board.

In view of the above, learned senior counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to withdraw the present writ petition and states that if aggrieved and required, the petitioner may approach the Court.

The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It is clarified that we have not made or expressed any opinion on merits.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J.

OCTOBER 12, 2018
VKR