

\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3120/2018**

ANKIT AGARWAL & ORS

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr.Samama Suhail, Mr.Osama Suhail
and Mr.Adnan Irshad, Advocates with
Petitioners in person

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Rajesh Mahajan, ASC for the
State with Ms.Jyoti Babbar, Advocate
with ASI Anand Kumar, PS
Shakarpur

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

10.10.2018

1. By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.305/2017 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Shakarpur on the complaint of respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

2. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the five petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.

3. Respondent No.2 who is present in Court and is identified by the Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts on 8th

W.P.(CRL) 3120/2018

page 1 of 3

January, 2017 copy whereof is annexed as Annexure P-2 to the present petition. In terms of the settlement, marriage between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the respondent No.2, the petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹11 lakhs to respondent No.2 out of which she has already received a sum of ₹11 lakhs and the balance amount of ₹1 lakh has been received by her today in Court vide Demand Draft No.279002 drawn on Kotak Mahindra Bank. She further states that a minor baby girl Shonaya born from the wedlock on 24th October, 2015 will remain in her care and custody and the petitioners will neither have the custody nor the visiting rights. She further states that she has no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners. In terms of the settlement she does not wish to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. She undertakes to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

4. Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between.

5. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in

question.

6. Consequently, FIR No.305/2017 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Shakarpur and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

7. Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

8. Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

OCTOBER 10, 2018

mamta