

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RSA 217/2017 & C.M.No. 8894/2018**

SUDHA SINGHAL Appellant

Through: None.

Versus

PAWAN KUMAR Respondent

Through: Ms. Perna, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

% **08.03.2018**

The learned counsel for the respondent submits that the lis has been settled between the parties by way of a settlement, which is embodied in the Receipt dated 21.01.2018, which is on the record. As agreed, the appellant was to receive an amount of Rs.3,00,000/-, which has been so paid to her by way of a Demand Draft issued by the HDFC Bank on 19.01.2018. Simultaneously, the said Receipt also acknowledges the full and final settlement with Mr. B. L. Singhal, the husband of the appellant, by payment of Rs.1,50,000/- to him by way of a bank draft issued by the aforesaid Bank on the date aforementioned.

None appeared for the appellant on the last date. None appears for her even today.

The learned counsel for the respondent states that since the matter has been settled nothing remains in the appeal; perhaps that is the reason for the lack of appearance.

In view of the aforesaid, the appeal, alongwith pending application, stands dismissed in default.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J.

MARCH 08, 2018/sb