

\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 89/2017**

MITALI WADHWA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.P. Bhargava with
Mr. N.S. Vasisht, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Jitendra K.Tripathi with Mr.Vipul
Agrawal & Mr. Anshuman Nayak,
Advocates for UOI/R1.
Mr.Sanjay Singh, Advocate for DDA.
Mr.Siddharth Panda with Mr.Ashish
Pradhan, Advocates for R4 & R5.

CORAM:
JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER
20.11.2018

%

1. The assertions of the LAC in para 8 of the reply that compensation paid to the Petitioner, indicating the cheque numbers and dates of the cheques have not been denied by the Petitioner. Time was sought for filing rejoinder but that has not been filed.

2. The counsel for the Petitioner disputed the assertion by the Respondents that physical possession of the land in question has been taken over. However, learned counsel for the Respondents points out that there was no question of paying compensation without taking over physical possession.

3. In the circumstances, the Court accepts the averments stated in the counter-affidavit and dismisses the petition.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

SANJEEV NARULA, J.

NOVEMBER 20, 2018

tr