

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2299/2018**

AJIT@ JEETE

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nitish Angnish, Advocate with
Mr. Tirlok Chand, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the State
with Insp. Sudhir Sharma, PS
Malviya Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

%

01.10.2018

Reply to the application for anticipatory bail moved by Ajit @ Jeete in case FIR No.284/2018 has been submitted by the SHO of Police Station Malviya Nagar.

Heard.

The case diary which has been produced has been perused.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on instructions, submitted that in the FIR lodged by Raju, father of the deceased Babita, it is stated that the behaviour of the members of the matrimonial family including the applicant towards the deceased was not proper. The applicant is the younger brother of Sonu, to whom the deceased had been married on 22.06.2018. The statements of the members of the family, namely, sister Payal and mother Radha, which were read out, indicate the allegations that as per the information given by the deceased to her parental

family, the applicant, being the younger brother of the husband, along with one another were abusive towards the deceased, they indulging in such impropriety under the influence of liquor.

Clearly, in the evidence gathered thus far, there are no allegations of the applicant being party to the demand for motorcycle, in which context a general allegation against the in-laws of Babli has been levelled by the first informant Raju.

In these circumstances, the petition is allowed. Thus, it is directed that in the event of the petitioner being arrested, he shall be released on bail by the arresting officer on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in the like amount subject to the following further conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall continue cooperating with the investigation and join the same as and when called upon to do so;
- (ii) The petitioner shall not come in contact with or try to influence any of the witnesses connected to the case;
- (iii) Prior to his release, he shall give the telephone numbers of self and of at least one other responsible family member besides that of the surety to the investigating officer; and
- (iv) He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

This order will inure only till the date of first appearance of the petitioner in the event of a charge-sheet being filed on conclusion of the investigation and process being issued against him by the court of cognizance.

The petition stands disposed of in above terms.

Dasti.

R.K.GAUBA, J.

OCTOBER 01, 2018

vk