

\$~192

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10766/2018

PREMDATT CHOPRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Gaurav Gupta and Mr Jaspal
Singh, Advocates.

versus

DELHI MEDICAL COUNCIL & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Praveen Khattar, Advocate for R-
1/DMC.

Mr T. Singhdev, Mr Abhijit
Chakravarty, Ms Amandeep Kaur and
Mr Tarun Verma, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

% **09.10.2018**

C.M. No. 41993/2018

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 10766/2018

2. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, praying as
under:-

“(A) Issue a writ, order or direction, in the nature of Mandamus,
or any other appropriate Writ directing Respondent No. 1 to
take the TPA documents on record and act expeditiously and in
a time bound manner and comply with the directions given by
Respondent No. 2 vide its letter dated 01.02.2016, bearing No.
MCI-211 (2)(102)(Complaint)/2016/Ethics./163607, whereby
Respondent No. 1 was directed to give a medical opinion in

relation to medical negligence which caused the death of the Petitioner's late wife, Late Ms. Ish Chopra."

3. The petitioner had made a complaint, *inter alia*, regarding the medical negligence in the treatment of his wife, Ms Ish Chopra (since deceased). The petitioner's grievance is that the said complaint was made to the Medical Council of India and was subsequently, forwarded to the Delhi Medical Council (DMC). The petitioner's grievance, essentially, is that the said complaint has not been proceeded with and the DMC has also not taken the relevant documents on record.
4. The learned counsel appearing for the DMC states that the complaint made by the petitioner has now been referred to the Disciplinary Committee of the DMC and two experts have also been appointed in addition. He states that due notice of the proceedings would also be issued to the petitioner.
5. In view of the above statement, no further orders are required to be passed in this petition. Needless to state that the petitioner would be at liberty to file all such documents, that the petitioner seeks to rely on, with the DMC and such documents would be considered by the Disciplinary Committee of the DMC.
6. It is also expected that the proceedings would be concluded as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of six months from today.
7. The petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

OCTOBER 09, 2018/MK