

36# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2270/2017**

SHABNAM @ MUKRI @ ABDUL QAYUM Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr. Habibur Rahman,**
Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: **Ms. Kamna Vohra, Additional**
Standing Counsel for State with
SI Sandeep Rawal, PS Kalyan
Puri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

23.01.2018

%

1. By this petition the petitioner challenges the communication dated 14th June, 2017 whereby the parole was declined to him for adverse police reports and the ground was not found convincing.

2. A status report has been filed. As per the status report the petitioner has four sons and two daughters all of whom are married and self-dependent. The wife of the petitioner is aged 60 years and is living with the sons and is not suffering from any specific ailment but old age problems. Further after the petitioner was rejected parole by the competent authority, he was granted furlough for a period of two weeks by the DG (Prisons).

3. Considering that the parole is sought on the ground of re-establishing ties and to look after the ailing wife, after the communication rejecting parole, the petitioner was granted furlough, this Court finds no ground to

W.P.(CRL) 2270/2017

Page 1 of 2

grant parole to the petitioner.

4. Petition is dismissed.

5. Copy of the order be communicated to the petitioner through Superintendent, Tihar Jail.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 23, 2018

‘vn’