

\$~31.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 568/2018

RAVINDRA PRASAD JUYAL Appellant

Through: Mr.D.S.Patial, Adv.

Versus

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Ms.Garima Prashad, Standing
Counsel for SDMC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

05.10.2018

%

Considering the fact that the learned Writ Court has refused to exercise its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India finding there to be an *inter se* dispute between the appellant and his brothers and the statement made by the SDMC that there is no unauthorised construction being carried out, we see no reason to interfere into the private dispute of the parties.

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

CHIEF JUSTICE

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

OCTOBER 05, 2018

'anb'