

\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6749/2017**

MOHD NAEEM ANSARI

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Tanya Tyagi and
Mr. Taranjeet Singh, Advs.

versus

THE SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mittal, Standing Counsel
for SDMC with Mr. Kamlesh Anand
and Ms. Yamini Mittal, Advs. for
SDMC
Dr. Mait George and Mr. Swaroop
George, Advs. for R-2
Mr. Rajeev Saxena, Mr. M.D. Sohail,
Mr. Manish Khurana and Ms.
Namrata Chauhan, Advs. for R-3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

%

18.01.2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, in view of para 4 of the ATR

(reproduced as under) filed by the Assistant Commissioner Central Zone

SDMC, the grievance of the petitioner does not survive and he wishes to

withdraw the petition. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn:

“4. That vide removal action dated 28.12.2017 upon availability of police force, the alleged porta cabin was removed and immediately upon removing the same, letter has also been sent to the Deputy Commissioner of Police, South East Distt. requesting thereby to ensure that re-encroachment will not occur. Photographs of the removal action and copy of the letter sent in this regard are annexed here with as Annexure ‘A’coly.”

CM No. 28081/2017 (by the petitioner) & CM No. 42843/2017 (by respondent No.3)

Dismissed as infructuous.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

JANUARY 18, 2018/aky