

\$~39.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10216/2018 and CM APPL. 39848-39850/2018

MAHENDER SINGH BISHT

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.C. Sagar with Mr. Ravi Lomod,
Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Bhagvan Swaroop Shukla, CGSC
with Mr. Kamaldeep and Mr. Shravan Kumar,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

26.09.2018

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the judgment dated 19.09.2018 pronounced by the Central Administrative Tribunal, dismissing O.A. No.3064/2018 filed by him for directing the respondents to regularise the government quarter No.57, Type-III, Sector-1, Sadiq Nagar, New Delhi, which was allotted to his father while he was in service. Pertinently, the father of the petitioner has superannuated on the post of Inspector in the CBI in the year 2009. Thereafter, the petitioner has continued occupying the said government quarter, which was admittedly not as per his entitlement.

2. After addressing arguments for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought a pass over to obtain instructions from his client before addressing further arguments.

3. In the post lunch session, counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition. He, however, states that the respondent No.2/Directorate of Estates may be directed to process the petitioner's pending application for a General Pool Residential Accommodation, submitted on 13.06.2013, in accordance with law (Annexure A-13).

4. The present petition is disposed of as not pressed alongwith the pending applications. The respondent No.2/Directorate of Estates is directed to process the application submitted by the petitioner on 13.06.2013 for a General Pool Residential Accommodation, in accordance with law.

HIMA KOHLI, J

REKHA PALLI, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2018

rkb