

\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 1818/2017

NAKKAN KHAN

..... Petitioner

Through

Mohd. Amanulla, Mr. Misbah Bin  
Tariq and Mr. Anas Zaidi, Advs.

Versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through

Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK**

**ORDER**

% **10.01.2018**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody for the last nine months. Investigations are complete and charge-sheet has been filed. Charges have been framed. Petitioner's wife is on dialysis. There is delay in lodging the FIR. There is no medical evidence to corroborate the version of the complainant. As per the FSL report, no semen was detected on the exhibits.

Learned APP has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. It is contended that petitioner had committed oral sex on the victim aged about six years.

Keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case, petitioner is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court. Petitioner, however, shall not visit the locality, where the victim is residing.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms. Dasti.

**A.K. PATHAK, J.**

**JANUARY 10, 2018**

*r.bararia*