

\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 4913/2018 & CRL.M.A. 32930-31/2018**

SH. PRITAM DASS Petitioner
Through: Mr. A.K. Pandey & Mr. Amit Kr.
Sharma, Advs.

versus

STATE Respondent
Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the State
with SI Gajendra Singh, PS Hauz
Khas.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER
% **26.09.2018**

After some hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition seeking liberty to raise the contentions set out in the petition, particularly, on the question of sanction at the time of arguments on charge before the trial court, his only apprehension being that the observations in the impugned order of the revisional court may be treated as binding. It is trite that the observations of the revisional court in the impugned order were recorded in the context of challenge to the order taking cognizance and issuing process against the petitioner and, therefore, cannot be clinching, final or conclusive.

The petition and the applications filed therewith are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for, granted.

Dasti under the signatures of Court Master.

R.K.GAUBA, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2018/nk