$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) 6314/2017

SVP BUILDERS (INDIA) LIMITED ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prakash Kumar, Advocate

versus

PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX-8 NEW DELHI & ORS. ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Standing Counsel

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

<u>O R D E R</u>

% **26.02.2018**

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed before us a copy of the e-mail message dated 13.2.2018 received by him from the JDIT CPC, Bangalore, stating that the Return, which was declared to be defective, has been processed.

The respondents would be bound by their e-mail message dated 13.2.2018 that the Return has been processed and intimation under Section 143(1) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 has been issued.

We clarify that we have not expressed any opinion on merits or commented on the allegations made in the counter-affidavit. Equally, in case the Return for Assessment Year 2016-2017 is taken up for scrutiny assessment, merits would be examined, without being influenced by any observations made in the counter-affidavit.

Recording the above, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

FEBRUARY 26, 2018/tp