

\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 845/2018

JAINUDDIN KHAN

..... Petitioner

Through
versus

Mr. H K Panda, Adv

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through

Mr. Izhar Ahmad, APP for State
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Standing
Counsel for BSES RPL with Mr.
Arnav Vidyarthi, Adv for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

12.10.2018

Petitioner has been charged with commission of offence punishable under section 135/150 of the Electricity Act, 2003. Petitioner was found indulging in direct theft of electricity as he was running an industrial unit with connected load of 62.498 KW, therefore, a theft bill of ₹ 45,77,554/- was raised against him, inasmuch as prosecution was launched before the Special Judge, Electricity Board. Petitioner has been directed to deposit 25% of the bill amount by the Special Court.

Aggrieved by this order, petitioner has preferred this petition under section 397 read with section 482 Cr.P.C.

I do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order in exercise of inherent powers of this Court under section 482 Cr.P.C.

Petition is dismissed.

A.K. PATHAK, J

OCTOBER 12, 2018/sm