

\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6146/2017

B.N. BENGALI @ BAIJ NATH SAH & ORS. Petitioners
Through: Mr.Viraj R.Datar and Mr.Anand Shailani,
Advts.
versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sri Harsha Peechara, standing counsel
for NDMC with Mr.Aditya Vikram Singh
and Ms.Vidhi Jain, Advts.
Mr.Devesh Singh, ASC (Civil), GNCTD
with Ms.Sukriti Ghai, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL

ORDER

%

03.10.2018

The petitioners claim themselves to be regular street vendors and their names find mentioned in the list of 628 eligible vendors, which was prepared by NDMC. Their removal by the officials of the respondents has led to the filing of the present writ petition. Counsel for the NDMC has submitted before us that the present petitioners have not been vending regularly and as of today area around Palika Bazar has reached a saturation point.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioners has submitted that an alternate site should be provided to the petitioners in and around Palika Bazar area. Counsel for the NDMC/respondent submits that no street vendors, other than authorised license holders are being allowed to vend in and around Palika Bazar area. Counsel for the NDMC/respondent, on instructions, submits that if the petitioners are interested in an alternate site at Laxmi Bai Nagar market, the same can be offered to them subject to verification of their documents.

Mr.Datar, counsel for the petitioners, submits that those petitioners who are interested in an alternate site in Laxmi Bai Nagar market, would approach the NDMC and other would approach the TVC seeking their entitlement with all supporting documents.

In view of the submissions made by the counsels for the parties, the petition stands disposed of.

G.S.SISTANI, J

SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J

OCTOBER 03, 2018

rb /

W.P.(C) 6146/2017

page 2 of 2