

\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 2904/2018

MANJEET SINGH & ORS

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr.Ashish Rai, Mr.Durgesh Rai and
Mr.Amit Kumar Dubey, Advocates

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Ms.Kamna Vohra, ASC for the State
with Ms.Sarabjeet Kaur, Advocate
and SI Rajiv Kumar, PS Jaitpur
Ms.Neha Kapoor, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% **25.09.2018**

1. By this petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.165/2014 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Jaitpur on the complaint of respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.
2. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the five petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.
3. Respondent No.2 who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners before the Mediation Centre, Saket Courts on 9th

W.P.(CRL) 2904/2018

page 1 of 3

May, 2017 copy whereof is annexed as Annexure B to the present petition. In terms of the settlement marriage between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the respondent No.2, the petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹2,70,000/- to respondent No.2 out of which she has already received a sum of ₹2,20,000/- and the balance amount of ₹50,000/- has been received by her today in Court vide Demand Draft No.878632 drawn on State Bank of India. She further states that she has no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners. In terms of the settlement she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. She undertakes to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

4. Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

5. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Consequently, FIR No.165/2014 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Jaitpur and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby

quashed.

7. Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

8. Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

SEPTEMBER 25, 2018

mamta