

\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LPA 666/2016 & CM APPL. 44491/2016**

STATE BANK OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajiv Kapur, Advocate.

versus

MANGAL SAIN SHARMA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. M. Dutta and Mr. R.S. Bhalla,
Advocates.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

01.11.2018

%

1. The questions of law raised in the present appeal against the order of the learned Single Judge are left open for consideration in an appropriate case. The impugned order of the learned Single Judge will not constitute a precedent *qua* the said questions of law. This is because the Respondent is over 90 years old and the Court does not consider it appropriate to interfere with the merits of the case as far as he is concerned.

2. With the above observations, the appeal and the application are disposed of.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

SANJEEV NARULA, J.

NOVEMBER 01, 2018/nk