

\$~4, 5 & 6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ ARB.P. 686/2018 & I.A. 12444/2018

HPCL-MITTAL ENERGY LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Kartik Nayar and Mr. Sarthak
Malhotra, Advs.

versus

ARTSON ENGINEERING LTD.

..... Respondent

Through Mr. E.R. Kumar, Ms. Smita
Bhargava, Ms. Aishwarya Dash, Ms.
Sumedha Sindhu and Mr. Manu
Bajaj, Advs.

AND

+ ARB.P. 687/2018 & I.A. 12445/2018

HPCL-MITTAL ENERGY LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Kartik Nayar and Mr. Sarthak
Malhotra, Advs.

versus

ARTSON ENGINEERING LTD.

..... Respondent

Through Mr. E.R. Kumar, Ms. Smita
Bhargava, Ms. Aishwarya Dash, Ms.
Sumedha Sindhu and Mr. Manu
Bajaj, Advs.

AND

+ ARB.P. 688/2018 & I.A. 12446/2018

HPCL-MITTAL ENERGY LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Kartik Nayar and Mr. Sarthak
Malhotra, Advs.

versus

ARTSON ENGINEERING LTD.

..... Respondent

Through Mr. E.R. Kumar, Ms. Smita
Bhargava, Ms. Aishwarya Dash, Ms.
Sumedha Sindhu and Mr. Manu
Bajaj, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

%

11.10.2018

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner says that he has instructions not to press the captioned petition as an Arbitrator has already been appointed.
2. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for.
3. Pending application shall stand disposed of being rendered infructuous.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

OCTOBER 11, 2018

rb