

\$~5

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 2687/2018

PRADEEP @ GUDDU RANGEELA ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Harsh Prabhakar, Adv.

versus

STATE ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. M.S. Oberoi, APP for State with  
SI Sodhna, P.S. Anand Parbat.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK**

**ORDER**

% **11.12.2018**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prosecutrix and her parents have not supported the prosecution version. He further submits that ingredients of offence under Section 376 IPC are not disclosed from a perusal of statement of prosecutrix under Section 164 Cr.P.C. Statements of prosecutrix and her parents have already been recorded. Petitioner is in custody for more than two years. Petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned APP has opposed the grant of bail to petitioner. It is contended that offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature.

I have perused the FIR, statement of prosecutrix under Section 164 Cr.P.C. as well as the statements of PW1 to PW3, that is, prosecutrix and her parents recorded in Court.

Keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances of this

case, petitioner is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms.

Dasti.

**A.K. PATHAK, J.**

**DECEMBER 11, 2018**

ga