

\$~17 & 55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2227/2017**

SHELLY OBEROI Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Bhatt, Adv.

Versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ORS Respondents

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, APP for State with SI
Govind Singh, P.S. Uttam Nagar.
Mr. Varun Sharma, Adv. for R-2

AND

CRL.M.C. 4586/2018
RAVINDER SINGH Petitioner

Through: Mr. Varun Sharma, Adv.

Versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR..... Respondents

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, APP for State with SI
Govind Singh, P.S. Uttam Nagar.
Mr. Gaurav Bhatt, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

11.09.2018

It is submitted that present FIR No. 376/2014 under Sections
323/341/506/34/354 IPC was registered against Mr. Ravinder Singh, Mr.
Lakhvinder Singh, Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Bhawna (accused persons) at

2

police station Uttam Nagar, on the complaint of Ms. Shelly Oberoi (complainant). Accused persons and complainant were neighbours at the relevant time. A quarrel took place between them on some trivial issue which led to registration of aforesaid FIR. It is further submitted that with the intervention of their common friends and other family members, accused persons and complainant have settled their disputes amicably, therefore, present FIR may be quashed.

Complainant-Ms. Shelly Oberoi is present in Court along with her counsel. She has been identified by SI Govind Singh of police station Uttam Nagar. She submits that she has settled the matter with Mr. Ravinder Singh, Mr. Lakhvinder Singh, Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Bhawna of her own free will and without any undue force, pressure or coercion. She has no objection in case present FIR and the consequent proceedings are quashed.

Keeping in mind the settlement arrived at between Mr. Ravinder Singh, Mr. Lakhvinder Singh, Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Bhawna (accused persons) and Ms. Shelly Oberoi (complainant), in my view, no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the criminal proceedings pending. Accordingly, aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

3

Both the petitions are disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous.

Dasti.



A.K. PATHAK, J.

SEPTEMBER 11, 2018

ga