

\$~72 to 96

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 42/2015

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

RADHA RAWAT & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv. for Mr. S.K.
Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 50/2015

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

PRADEEP KUMAR & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv. for Mr. S.K.
Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 55/2015

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

SHASHI BALA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 61/2015 & CM Nos.1479/2015 (for stay), 1480/2015 &
1481/2015 (both for condonation of delay of 100 days in filing & 156
days in re-filing the appeal)

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

BIDHI SEN GUPTA & ANR

..... Respondents

- Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
AND
- + LA.APP. 65/2015 & CM No.1659/2015 (for stay)
UNION OF INDIA Appellant
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.
Versus
BALWAN SINGH & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
AND
- + LA.APP. 81/2015 & CM No.2013/2015 (for stay)
UNION OF INDIA Appellant
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.
Versus
HEMANT & ANR Respondents
Through: Ms. Kanika Goel, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
AND
- + LA.APP. 95/2015 & CM No.3543/2015 (for stay)
UNION OF INDIA Appellant
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.
Versus
BRIJ BALA & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
AND
- + LA.APP. 96/2015 & CM No.3547/2015 (for stay)
UNION OF INDIA Appellant
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.
Versus
RAM NIWAS & ANR Respondent
Through: Ms. Kanika Goel, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
AND

- + LA.APP. 97/2015, CM No.3551/2015 (for stay)
 UNION OF INDIA Appellant
 Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
 Advs.
 Versus
 KULDEEP RANA & ANOTHER Respondents
 Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
 AND
- + LA.APP. 98/2015 & CM No.3560/2015 (for stay)
 UNION OF INDIA Appellant
 Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
 Advs.
 Versus
 HARISH KUMAR & ANR Respondents
 Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
 AND
- + LA.APP. 100/2015 & CM No.3578/2015 (for stay)
 UNION OF INDIA Appellant
 Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
 Advs.
 Versus
 RANBIR SINGH & ANR Respondents
 Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv. for Mr. S.K.
 Yadav, Adv.
 Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
 AND
- + LA.APP. 102/2015 & CM No.3593/2015 (for stay)
 UNION OF INDIA Appellant
 Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
 Advs.
 Versus
 TRINETRA NATH & ANR Respondents
 Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
 AND

- + LA.APP. 103/2015 & CM No.3603/2015 (for stay)
 UNION OF INDIA Appellant
 Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
 Advs.
 Versus
 JAI PAL & ANR Respondents
 Through: Ms. Kanika Goel, Adv. for R-1.
 Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
 AND
- + LA.APP. 104/2015 & CM No.3613/2015 (for stay)
 UNION OF INDIA Appellant
 Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
 Advs.
 Versus
 NARENDER SINGH & ANR Respondents
 Through: Ms. Kanika Goel, Adv. for R-1.
 Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
 Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi & Mr. Shashank
 Khurana, Advs. for DDA.
 AND
- + LA.APP. 105/2015 & CM No.3623/2015 (for stay)
 UNION OF INDIA Appellant
 Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
 Advs.
 Versus
 INDER DEV & ANR Respondents
 Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
 Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi & Mr. Shashank
 Khurana, Advs. for DDA.
 AND
- + LA.APP. 108/2015
 UNION OF INDIA Appellant
 Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
 Advs.
 Versus
 KRISHNA & ANR Respondents
 Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 109/2015 & CM No.3641/2015 (for stay)

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

RAHDESHYAM & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv. for Mr. S.K.
Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 110/2015 & CM No.3650/2015 (for stay)

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

KRISHAN KUMAR & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Kanika Goel, Adv. for R-1.

Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 112/2015 & CM No.3658/2015 (for stay)

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

PRADEEP RANA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 113/2015 & CM No.3666/2015 (for stay)

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

SURESH & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. I.S. Dahiya, Adv. for Mr. S.K.
Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 237/2015
UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

SHEELA DEVI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv. for DDA.
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 263/2015, CM Nos.9665/2015 (for stay) & 9666/2015 (for
condonation of delay of 436 days in filing the appeal)
UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

JAI SINGH & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Kanika Goel, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 277/2015, CM Nos.9723/2015 (for stay) & 9724/2015 (for
condonation of delay of 434 days in filing the appeal)
UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

NARENDER SINGH & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

AND

+ LA.APP. 303/2015
UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

DALEL SINGH & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajinkya Tiwari & Mr. Kartik
Jindal, Adv. for DDA.

Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.
AND

+ LA.APP. 319/2015
UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv.

Versus

MANOJ KUMAR & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajinkya Tiwari & Mr. Kartik
Jindal, Adv. for DDA.
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **05.09.2018**

1. These appeals under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 impugn the compensation awarded to the respondents / land owners by the Reference Court under Section 18 of the Act.
2. The appeals were admitted for hearing and ordered to be listed in the category of "Regulars".
3. The counsel for the appellant Union of India (UOI) on request of this Court to furnish particulars of 'covered' matters pending consideration, furnished the particulars and the Registry was directed to list the said matters in the cause list in the category of 'After Notice Miscellaneous Matters'. The Court Master was also directed to telephonically inform the Advocates for the private parties of the matters so ordered to be listed.
4. The appeals are listed today in terms of above.
5. Only the counsels for the private parties / land owners in LA. APP. Nos.42/2015, 50/2015, 81/2015, 96/2015, 100/2015, 103/2015, 104/2015, 109/2015, 110/2015, 113/2015 & 263/2015 appear.

6. None appears for the private parties / land owners in LA.APP. Nos.55/2015, 61/2015, 65/2015, 95/2015, 97/2015, 98/2015, 102/2015, 105/2015, 108/2015, 112/2015, 237/2015, 277/2015, 303/2015 & 319/2015 but in view of what is mentioned below, need to await the private parties / land owners is not felt.

7. The counsel for appellant UOI has been heard separately in each of the appeals but for the sake of convenience, this common order is being passed.

8. The counsel for appellant UOI states that these matters pertain to land acquired in village Shahbad Daulatpur, compensation with respect to which was determined vide Award No.29/2002-03. It is further stated that the compensation with respect to other land acquired vide the same notification and award has been determined by this Court in *Hem Chander Malik Vs. Union of India* 2011 SCC OnLine Del 411 and SLP(C) No.26985/2012 titled *Hem Chander Malik Vs. Union of India* preferred thereagainst was dismissed by the Supreme Court on 30th October, 2015. He thus states that the compensation with respect to the land subject matter of these appeals has to be determined in accordance with the dicta of this Court in *Hem Chander Malik* supra. It is further informed that this Court in *Hem Chander Malik* affirmed the compensation awarded by the Reference Court.

9. Resultantly, the appeals are dismissed.

No costs.

10. Decree sheets be drawn up.

Trial court record, if requisitioned, be returned.

11. The date already given of 11th October, 2018 in LA.APP.
Nos.61/2015, 263/2015 & 277/2015 is cancelled.

SEPTEMBER 05, 2018
'gsr'

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J