

\$~8

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 517/2016 & IAs No.12572-12575/2016 & 440/2018

MS. X

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Rajeshwari H. and Ms. Nupur
Goswami, Advs.

Versus

YOUTUBE LLC & ORS

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Neel Manon, Ms. Ridhima Pabbi
and Ms. Ekta Sharma, Advs. for D-1.
Mr. Akhil Anand, Adv. for D-2.
Mr. Murari Tiwari and Mr. Rahul
Kumar, Advs. for D-3.
Mr. Dhananjaya Mishra, Adv. for
D-4&6.
Mr. Sanjib Kumar Mohanty and Mr.
Amit Acharya, Advs. for D-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

18.12.2018

%

1. The counsel for the plaintiff states that she has not been receiving instructions from the plaintiff and has moved an application seeking discharge but which has not been listed.
2. There is no need to await the application for discharge from appearance in this suit.
3. Believing the word of the counsel for the plaintiff, the counsel is discharged.

4. None else appears for the plaintiff and the suit is liable to be dismissed in default.
5. Though it appears that with the dismissal of the suit, the interim injunction in force will also disappear, but the counsel who was earlier appearing for the plaintiff informs that vide order dated 10th October, 2017 in CS(OS) No.376/2017 between the plaintiff and the defendant No.3 who is the husband of the plaintiff, the same interim order has been granted.
6. Dismissed in default.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J.

DECEMBER 18, 2018

‘bs’..