

\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5449/2017

ART AUTO P LTD

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Nitin Gulati, Adv.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF TRADE AND
TAXES & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Kanishk Rana, Adv. for Mr. Anuj
Aggarwal, ASC for GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

05.02.2018

The respondents have filed an order which, as per the petitioner, is contrary to law. It is, however, accepted by the petitioner that there is an alternative remedy by way of filing objections which could then be disposed of by the Objection Hearing Authority.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the pendency of the present writ petition, the petitioner had not preferred objections and limitation period has expired.

We dispose of the writ petition giving liberty to the petitioner to file objections within a period of three weeks from today. In case objections are filed within the aforesaid time, they would not be dismissed on the ground of limitation. We clarify that we have not expressed any opinion on merits. The writ petition is disposed of

with no order as to costs.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

FEBRUARY 05, 2018

SRwt