

\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9391/2018

RAVI KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Ravi Kumar, petitioner in person.

versus

THE CHAIRMAN, INDIAN SPACE RESEARCH ORGANISATION
AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Sanjeev Narula, CGSC with
Mr. Abhishek Ghai, Mr. Rajat Gava and
Mr. Shravan Kumar Shukla, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL

ORDER

%

07.09.2018

CM APPL. 36384/2018 (exemption)

Exemption is allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 9391/2018, CM APPL. 36385/2018 (Ex parte relief)

Petitioner seeks a direction to stay the recruitment process published by Notification No. ISRO:HQ:ICRB:03:2018 dated 13th February, 2018 and ISRO:HQ:ICRB 01:2018 dated 31st January, 2018. The petitioner who appears in person submits that the petitioner has already filed an O.A. being O.A. No. 1325/2018 which is pending before the Central Administrative Tribunal and the next date fixed is 26th October, 2018.

Petitioner in person submits that although alongwith O.A., he has had filed an application for interim relief however, the application has not been considered by the Tribunal although notice has been issued in the application

seeking stay. It is contended that on the last date of hearing, the matter was adjourned in the absence of any reply by the respondent. The petitioner submits that in case, interim protection is not granted, the O.A. would become infructuous as he has information that the process of selection is nearing completion.

Notice. Mr. Sanjeev Narula, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 an advance copy has been served upon respondent No.1 by post at Bangalore. Sh. Sanjeev Narula submits that the present writ petition is not maintainable as in case the petitioner has information that the recruitment process is nearly complete, he should have moved an application for early hearing before the Tribunal itself.

We have heard the petitioner in person and Mr. Sanjeev Narula, Advocate. Petitioner submits that in case his application of interim relief is not heard urgently, the recruitment process would be completed and resultantly his O.A. would become infructuous. We grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal. The petitioner will make urgent application before the Tribunal and if deem it appropriate mention the matter before the concerned Bench after serving a copy of the application to the counsel appearing in the O.A.

We have no hesitation in observing that in case such an application is filed, the Tribunal would take up and hear the application seeking interim relief.

With these directions made, present writ petition and application are disposed of.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* to counsels for the parties under the signature of Court Master.

G.S.SISTANI, J

SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J

SEPTEMBER 07, 2018/SU