

\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CM(M) 622/2017

PRERNA SEEMAR KALRA Petitioner

Through: None.

Versus

PRAMOD ANAND AND ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhinav Mukherji & Mr.
Siddharth Garg, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **09.10.2018**

CM No.42213/2018 (of the respondents no.2&3 for correction of the order dated 11th July, 2018)

1. The counsel for the applicants / respondents no.2&3, in the application states that during the hearing on 11th July, 2018, owing to “slip of tongue”, it was stated that the applicants / respondents no.2&3 are continuing in possession of the property and as recorded in para no.22 of the order dated 11th July, 2018. It is stated that in fact the applicants / respondents no.2&3, pursuant to the sale to the petitioner, are not in possession of the property. A copy of the sale deed is filed along with the application.
2. Need to correct the order is not felt. The aforesaid submission of the counsel for the applicants / respondents no.2&3 is taken on record.
3. In this view of the matter, need to issue notice of this application to the other parties is not felt.
4. The application is disposed of.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

OCTOBER 09, 2018/‘gsr’..