

\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8768/2016 & C.M. No. 35907/2016**

NARANG INTERNATIONAL HOTELS
PVT. LTD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Akhil Sibal, Senior Advocate
with Mr Nikhil Chawla, Advocate.
versus

DELHI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (P)
LIMITED & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Parag Tripathi, Senior Advocate
with Mr Milanka Chaudhary, Mr Saroj Anand
Jha, Mr Naina Dubey, Mr Rishabh Kapur,
Advocate for R1/DIAL.
Mr Digvijay Rai, Mr Kustubh Singh,
Advocates for R2/AAI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

% **28.08.2018**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, praying as under:-

“(i) issuance of appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ by directing the Respondents to issue to the Petitioner necessary vehicles permits, passes, ADP, NOC, permissions etc. for the access of personnel and vehicles at the IGI airport for providing in-flight catering services upto 31.03.2017 in accordance with Lease deed dated 20th August 1982 as extended on 27th November, 2009;

(ii) Issuance of appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ by directing the Respondents not to withhold the entry of personnel or vehicles of the Petitioner at the IGI airport for providing in-flight catering services in terms of lease deed dated 20th August 1982 as extended on 27th November, 2009.”

2. Mr Tripathi, learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent no.1/DIAL concurs with the submission that the lease dated 20.08.1982 stands extended till August, 2021 in terms of the Minutes of the Meeting dated 27.11.2009. He further states that in view of the above, the DIAL would extend the necessary vehicles permits and passes for granting access to the Airport premises.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the Airport Authority of India (AAI) also states that a decision has been taken that all arrangements and agreements in future would be with the DIAL. Further, the AAI has no objection to the above.

4. In view of the above, the petitioner’s grievance stands addressed. No further orders are required to be passed in this petition.

5. The petition and the pending application are, accordingly, disposed of.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

AUGUST 28, 2018

pkv