

\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 965/2017**

NEERAJ

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jatan Singh, Adv.
versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, APP for State with SI
Praveen Kumar, P.S. Mandawali.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

18.05.2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that complainant has alleged in the FIR that petitioner took his brother-Imran with him on his motorcycle and thereafter Imran did not return home. However, Imran came back to his home on his own. His statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. was recorded wherein Imran has not levelled any allegation that petitioner had taken him forcibly.

I have perused the statement of Imran under Section 164 Cr.P.C. wherein he has categorically stated that no one had kidnapped him. Keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances, it is ordered that in case of arrest petitioner be released on bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the

satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer/SHO of the concerned police station.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms.

Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

MAY 18, 2018

ga