

§-41 to 43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2733/2016 & CrI.M.A.No.14572/2016**

GANDHARAV KHARBANDA Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr.Rakesh Malhotra, Advocate**

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR Respondents

Represented by: **Mr.Rajesh Mahajan, ASC for State
with Ms.Jyoti Babbar, Advocate
Mr.Anwesh Madhukar and
Ms.Pranjal Shekhar, Advocates for R-
2**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2735/2016 & CrI.M.A.No.14575/2016**

TAGORE KHARBANDA Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr.Rakesh Malhotra, Advocate**

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR Respondents

Represented by: **Mr.Rajesh Mahajan, ASC for State
with Ms.Jyoti Babbar, Advocate**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2740/2016 & CrI.M.A.No.14599/2016**

VIKRAM KHARBANDA Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr.Rakesh Malhotra, Advocate**

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR Respondents

Represented by: **Mr.Rajesh Mahajan, ASC for State
with Ms.Jyoti Babbar, Advocate**

CORAM:

12

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER


16.04.2018

%

After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the petitions with liberty to file fresh petitions in case report under Section 173 ^{CPC} ~~IPC~~ is filed against the petitioners and cognizance thereon is taken or in case charges are framed against the petitioners.

Leave and liberty granted.

The petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.


MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 16, 2018

mamta